From: Kanellis, William G. (CIV)

To: <u>Noam Biale</u>

Cc: jameel.jaffer; Ramya Krishnan; carrie.decell@knightcolumbia.org; Xiangnong Wang; Talya R Nevins; Jackson

Busch; Scott Wilkens; arulanantham@law.ucla.edu; Michael Tremonte; Alexandra Conlon; Courtney Gans; Kanter, Ethan (CIV); Santora, Victoria M (CIV); Strokus, Jessica D. (CIV); Busen, Jesse (CIV); Stone, Paul (CIV); Yen,

Shawna (USAMA), Hennes, Stefanie (CIV)

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] RE: Identity of plaintiffs' witnesses for deposition

Date: Friday, June 13, 2025 9:04:29 PM

Noam, what are the names of these witnesses?

You're saying an unnamed witness in Chicago will be available this Tuesday? As in four days from now? That is simply not enough time to make arrangements. If you mean the following Tuesday, please clarify and we'll make arrangements once you provide his name.

The deposition in London will be on June 24, beginning in the morning. We expect it to be at the U.S. Embassy. Please provide the names of persons from your team, if any, who will be physically present, so that we can clear their access to the embassy. We need that by Monday, no later than 6 p.m.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 13, 2025, at 6:51 PM, Noam Biale <NBiale@shertremonte.com> wrote:

To follow up: the witness in Chicago is available Tuesday.

In addition, we have a witness who will be available to be deposed in Claremont, California, on June 20.

<image001.jpg> Noam Biale

90 Broad Street, 23rd Floor | New York, NY 10004

tel: 212.300.2445 | fax: 212.202.4156

nbiale@shertremonte.com www.shertremonte.com

<image002.jpg>

<image003.jpg>

<image004.jpg>

This e-mail message is intended only for the personal use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and as such privileged and confidential and/or it may include attorney work product. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, copy or distribute this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail and delete the original message.

From: Noam Biale

Sent: Friday, June 13, 2025 5:12 PM

To: 'Kanellis, William G. (CIV)' < William.G. Kanellis@usdoj.gov>

Cc: jameel.jaffer@knightcolumbia.org>; Ramya Krishnan

Wang <george.wang@knightcolumbia.org>; Talya R Nevins

<talya.nevins@knightcolumbia.org>; Jackson Busch

<jackson.busch@knightcolumbia.org>; Scott Wilkens

<scott.wilkens@knightcolumbia.org>; arulanantham@law.ucla.edu; Michael Tremonte

<MTremonte@shertremonte.com>; Alexandra Conlon <Aconlon@shertremonte.com>;

Courtney Gans < CGans@shertremonte.com>; Kanter, Ethan (CIV)

<Ethan.Kanter@usdoj.gov>; Santora, Victoria M (CIV) <Victoria.M.Santora@usdoj.gov>;

Strokus, Jessica D. (CIV) <Jessica.D.Strokus@usdoj.gov>; Busen, Jesse (CIV)

<Jesse.Busen@usdoj.gov>; Stone, Paul (CIV) <Paul.F.Stone@usdoj.gov>; Yen, Shawna

(USAMA) <Shawna.Yen@usdoj.gov>; Hennes, Stefanie (CIV)

<Stefanie.Hennes@usdoj.gov>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: Identity of plaintiffs' witnesses for deposition

Dear William,

We have confirmed two noncitizen witnesses whose names I will disclose as soon as the Court enters the protective order. For planning purposes, one of those witnesses is based in Chicago and one is based in Cambridge. As to the latter, he is having surgery early next week and will be recovering for the remainder of the week. Accordingly, the earliest he could be deposed is the week of June 23. Since we are all going to be in Boston on the 26th, we thought it might make sense to schedule his deposition for the 25th or the morning of the 26th. I am still working on getting the schedule for the Chicago witness but I will send that to you when I have it.

Regards, Noam

<image001.jpg>

Noam Biale

90 Broad Street, 23rd Floor | New York, NY 10004

tel: 212.300.2445 | fax: 212.202.4156

nbiale@shertremonte.com

www.shertremonte.com

<image002.jpg>

<image003.jpg>

<image004.jpg>

This e-mail message is intended only for the personal use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and as such privileged and confidential and/or it may include attorney work product. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, copy or distribute this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail and delete the original message.

From: Kanellis, William G. (CIV) < william.G.Kanellis@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2025 4:42 PM

To: Noam Biale < NBiale@shertremonte.com >

Cc: jameel.jaffer@knightcolumbia.org>; Ramya Krishnan

<ramva.krishnan@knightcolumbia.org>; carrie.decell@knightcolumbia.org; Xiangnong

Wang <george.wang@knightcolumbia.org>; Talya R Nevins

<talya.nevins@knightcolumbia.org>; Jackson Busch

<<u>iackson.busch@knightcolumbia.org</u>>; scott.wilkens

<scott.wilkens@knightcolumbia.org>; arulanantham@law.ucla.edu; Michael Tremonte

<<u>MTremonte@shertremonte.com</u>>; Alexandra Conlon <<u>Aconlon@shertremonte.com</u>>;

Courtney Gans < CGans@shertremonte.com>; Kanter, Ethan (CIV)

<<u>Ethan.Kanter@usdoi.gov</u>>; Santora, Victoria M (CIV) <<u>Victoria.M.Santora@usdoi.gov</u>>;

Strokus, Jessica D. (CIV) < <u>Jessica.D.Strokus@usdoj.gov</u>>; Busen, Jesse (CIV)

<Jesse.Busen@usdoj.gov>; Stone, Paul (CIV) <Paul.F.Stone@usdoj.gov>; Yen, Shawna

(USAMA) <<u>Shawna.Yen@usdoj.gov</u>>; Hennes, Stefanie (CIV)

<<u>Stefanie.Hennes@usdoj.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] RE: Identity of plaintiffs' witnesses for deposition

Please identify the person(s) who will accompany Ms. Duval, if anyone will be physically present with her, so that we can provide them clearance to enter the Embassy. Also, so that we can make court reporting arrangements, please let me know when she will be available ASAP- again, available times are either beginning Monday afternoon or at some point on Tuesday. Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 12, 2025, at 4:17 PM, Noam Biale

<<u>NBiale@shertremonte.com</u>> wrote:

Thank you for that information. It has become clear, however, in today's deposition that you are in fact withholding information known to you, specifically the cable Mr. Armstrong authorized regarding revoking visas. Further, yesterday, several names were revealed of individuals with relevant knowledge that you did not disclose. Finally, you did not disclose Mr. Armstrong and Mr. Watson as witnesses – we noticed their depositions based on the declarations submitted in connection with your motion to dismiss. You have not disclosed any witnesses. Does the government anticipate calling any witnesses at trial?

<image001.jpg>

Noam Biale

90 Broad Street, 23rd Floor | New York, NY 10004 tel: 212.300.2445 | fax: 212.202.4156

<u>nbiale@shertremonte.com</u> <u>www.shertremonte.com</u>

<image002.jpg>

<image003.jpg>

<image004.ipg>

This e-mail message is intended only for the personal use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorneyclient communication and as such privileged and confidential and/or it may include attorney work product. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, copy or distribute this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail and delete the original message.

From: Kanellis, William G. (CIV) < William.G. Kanellis@usdoj.gov >

Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2025 12:35 PM

To: Noam Biale < NBiale@shertremonte.com >

Cc: jameel.jaffer < jameel.jaffer@knightcolumbia.org>; Ramya Krishnan

<ramva.krishnan@knightcolumbia.org>; carrie.decell@knightcolumbia.org;

Xiangnong Wang <george.wang@knightcolumbia.org>; Talya R Nevins

<talva.nevins@knightcolumbia.org>; Jackson Busch

<jackson.busch@knightcolumbia.org>; scott.wilkens

<scott.wilkens@knightcolumbia.org>; arulanantham@law.ucla.edu;

Michael Tremonte < MTremonte@shertremonte.com; Alexandra Conlon

<<u>Aconlon@shertremonte.com</u>>; Courtney Gans

<CGans@shertremonte.com>; Noam Biale <NBiale@shertremonte.com>;

Kanter, Ethan (CIV) < Ethan.Kanter@usdoi.gov>; Santora, Victoria M (CIV)

< <u>Victoria.M.Santora@usdoj.gov</u>>; Strokus, Jessica D. (CIV)

<Jessica.D.Strokus@usdoi.gov>; Busen, Jesse (CIV)

<<u>Jesse.Busen@usdoj.gov</u>>; Stone, Paul (CIV) <<u>Paul.F.Stone@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Yen, Shawna (USAMA) < Shawna. Yen@usdoj.gov>; Hennes, Stefanie (CIV)

<<u>Stefanie.Hennes@usdoj.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] RE: Identity of plaintiffs' witnesses for deposition

Thank you for your prompt response. We will plan on deposing her in London on Monday or Tuesday - whichever is more convenient for her. We will make arrangements with the US Embassy. We expect the deposition to last four hours.

Whether or not you are moved, we are not withholding information known to us, and expect the same. We are providing you responsive information - including witnesses - as it is identified. We hope for continued cooperation in the next few weeks.

I will provide further details regarding Tuesday as I receive them. Please do not hesitate to call me to work out details.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 12, 2025, at 12:23 PM, Noam Biale <NBiale@shertremonte.com> wrote:

Hi William,

I am cc'ing the rest of my team.

As an initial matter, you have not identified the relevant officials, their titles, and roles, as the judge ordered you to do at the May 6, 2025 conference, so that we may notice their depositions. Given that, your complaint about our supposed delay does not move me.

Regarding Ms. Dubal, she will be in London next week. We are going to speak with her today about her schedule for next week and we will get back to you as soon as we know when she can sit for her deposition.

As I said before, once the protective order gets entered and as we confirm the witnesses we intend to call at trial, we will identify them.

Regards, Noam

<image001.jpg>

Noam Biale

90 Broad Street, 23rd Floor | New York, NY 10004

tel: 212.300.2445 | fax: 212.202.4156

nbiale@shertremonte.com www.shertremonte.com

<image002.jpg>

<image003.jpg>

<image004.jpg>

This e-mail message is intended only for the personal use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and as such privileged and confidential and/or it may include attorney work product. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, copy or distribute this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail and delete the original message

From: Kanellis, William G. (CIV) < William.G. Kanellis@usdoi.gov >

Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2025 11:49 AM

To: Noam Biale < <u>NBiale@shertremonte.com</u>>

Subject: Identity of plaintiffs' witnesses for deposition

Noam,

We plan on deposing at least two of your witnesses next week. At your request, we will not seek to depose Ms. Bâli next week because of her personal circumstance. Please tell me the location of Ms. Dubal so that we can make arrangements to depose her next Monday or Tuesday. Also, I assume you are calling more than these two witnesses. If that's the case, please identify the other witnesses and their locations so that we can make arrangements to depose them.

We are trying to work with you, but there remain only a handful of working days between now and trial. And since you know the identities and whereabouts of your witnesses, there's no excuse for further delaying the disclosure of this information. We've made the two witnesses you've noticed available for deposition on the dates you requested, at some inconvenience to these witnesses. I ask that you provide us the same courtesy. If you want to discuss by phone, please call me at +12025325749. Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 10, 2025, at 6:20 PM, Kanellis, William G. (CIV)

< William.G. Kanellis@usdoj.gov > wrote:

Noam, who are your other witnesses? Are you only calling two? And where are these witnesses located? We need to make travel and office space arrangements before next week. Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 10, 2025, at 5:29 PM, Noam Biale <NBiale@shertremonte.com> wrote:

Lindsay,

The Court's order of today does not cover information we provide you in discovery. We need an additional protective order for that. We sent our draft protective order on May 10. You have declined to agree to its terms and have now gone back on provisions to which you previously agreed. In addition, we sent you our document requests on May 13. You have provided no response to those requests. You are now giving us one hour to respond before you say you will file a motion to compel. If you think based on this record you have a meritorious motion, go ahead.

That being said, we are prepared now to disclose two of our witnesses who are citizens: Veena Dubal and Asli Bali. Ms. Bali just had a death

Page 8 of 22

in the family and may not be available next week.

On the protective order, I think it would be helpful for the court to indicate the provisions where we do have agreement and make clear what is left for the court to decide. Do you disagree with that approach?

Noam

<lmage.jpeg>

Noam Biale

90 Broad Street, 23rd Floor | New York, NY 10004 tel: 212.300.2445 | fax: 212.202.4156

nbiale@shertremonte.com

www.shertremonte.com

This e-mail message is intended only for the personal use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and as such privileged and confidential and/or it may include attorney work product. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, copy or distribute this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by email and delete the original message.

From: Murphy, Lindsay M. (CIV) <Lindsay.M.Murphy@usdoi.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2025

4:51:30 PM To: Noam Biale

<NBiale@shertremonte.com>;

Alexandra Conlon

<<u>Aconlon@shertremonte.com</u>>

Cc: Alexander A Abdo

<alex.abdo@knightcolumbia.org>;

Courtney Gans

<<u>CGans@shertremonte.com</u>>;

jameel.jaffer

<jameel.jaffer@knightcolumbia.org>;

Michael Tremonte

<<u>MTremonte@shertremonte.com</u>>;

Ramya Krishnan

<ramva.krishnan@knightcolumbia.org>;

scott.wilkens

<scott.wilkens@knightcolumbia.org>;

Busen, Jesse (CIV)

<<u>Jesse.Busen@usdoj.gov</u>>; Hennes,

Stefanie (CIV)

<<u>Stefanie.Hennes@usdoi.gov</u>>;

Kanellis, William G. (CIV)

< William.G. Kanellis@usdoj.gov >;

Kanter, Ethan (CIV)

< <u>Ethan.Kanter@usdoj.gov</u>>; Moss,

Benjamin M. (CIV)

<Benjamin.M.Moss2@usdoj.gov>;

Santora, Victoria M (CIV)

< <u>Victoria.M.Santora@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Stone, Paul (CIV)

<<u>Paul.F.Stone@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Strokus, Jessica D. (CIV)

<Jessica.D.Strokus@usdoi.gov>;

Yen, Shawna (USAMA)

<<u>Shawna.Yen@usdoj.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: AAUP v. Rubio -Various Outstanding Items

Hi Noam,

The stipulation re government statements looks good to file.

We'll mark our calendars for Thursday at 2pm. But in light of the Court's order this afternoon on Plaintiffs' witness protective order motion, we expect Plaintiffs to disclose the identities and locations of your witnesses by 6pm today so that we may make the necessary arrangements. Otherwise, we will move to compel.

On the protective order, we wanted to clarify that Plaintiffs aren't intending to file it as a request to enter a stipulated order. Given our objections to the provisions referenced earlier, Defendants do not stipulate to it and expect to respond to the motion for a protective order that we presume you'll be filing.

Thanks for your agreement on our proposed clawback order. We'll take care of getting that filed.

Lindsay

From: Noam Biale

<<u>NBiale@shertremonte.com</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 3:31

PM

To: Murphy, Lindsay M. (CIV)

<<u>Lindsay.M.Murphy@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Alexandra Conlon

<Aconlon@shertremonte.com>

Cc: Alexander A Abdo

<alex.abdo@knightcolumbia.org>;

Courtney Gans

<<u>CGans@shertremonte.com</u>>;

jameel.jaffer

< iameel.jaffer@knightcolumbia.org>;

Michael Tremonte

<<u>MTremonte@shertremonte.com</u>>;

Ramya Krishnan

<ramva.krishnan@knightcolumbia.org>;

scott.wilkens

<scott.wilkens@knightcolumbia.org>;

Busen, Jesse (CIV)

<Jesse.Busen@usdoi.gov>; Hennes,

Stefanie (CIV)

<<u>Stefanie.Hennes@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Kanellis, William G. (CIV)

<<u>William.G.Kanellis@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Kanter, Ethan (CIV)

< <u>Ethan.Kanter@usdoj.gov</u>>; Moss,

Benjamin M. (CIV)

<Benjamin.M.Moss2@usdoj.gov>;

Santora, Victoria M (CIV)

< <u>Victoria.M.Santora@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Stone, Paul (CIV)

<<u>Paul.F.Stone@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Document 136-4

Strokus, Jessica D. (CIV)

<Jessica.D.Strokus@usdoj.gov>;

Yen, Shawna (USAMA)

<<u>Shawna.Yen@usdoi.gov</u>>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: AAUP v. Rubio - Various Outstanding Items

Thank you. Here is the signed stipulation with the numbering issue you pointed out corrected. We will ask the Court to so-order it.

Regarding the meet & confer, today does not work but your proposed time of 2 PM on Thursday works (for those of us not participating in the depositions). Why don't we plan to discuss the pretrial memorandum and your RFPs and Rogs at that time?

Regarding the protective order, we are going to go ahead and ask the judge to enter it and will note your objections to paragraphs 3, 6(h), 7, and 10.

We are fine with your change to the clawback order (but cut the "the" before "either").

<image001.jpg>

Noam Biale

90 Broad Street, 23rd Floor | New York, NY 10004 tel: 212.300.2445 | fax: 212.202.4156 nbiale@shertremonte.com www.shertremonte.com

<image002.jpg>

<image003.jpg>

<image004.jpg>

Page 12 of 22

This e-mail message is intended only for the personal use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and as such privileged and confidential and/or it may include attorney work product. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, copy or distribute this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by email and delete the original message.

From: Murphy, Lindsay M. (CIV)

<Lindsay.M.Murphy@usdoj.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:13

PM

To: Noam Biale

<<u>NBiale@shertremonte.com</u>>;

Alexandra Conlon

<a href="mailto:Aconlon@shertremonte.com>

Cc: Alexander A Abdo

<alex.abdo@knightcolumbia.org>;

Courtney Gans

<<u>CGans@shertremonte.com</u>>;

jameel.jaffer

<jameel.jaffer@knightcolumbia.org>;

Michael Tremonte

<<u>MTremonte@shertremonte.com</u>>;

Ramya Krishnan

<ramva.krishnan@knightcolumbia.org>;

scott.wilkens

<scott.wilkens@knightcolumbia.org>;

Busen, Jesse (CIV)

<Jesse.Busen@usdoi.gov>; Hennes,

Stefanie (CIV)

<<u>Stefanie.Hennes@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Kanellis, William G. (CIV)

< William.G. Kanellis@usdoj.gov >;

Kanter, Ethan (CIV)

< <u>Ethan.Kanter@usdoj.gov</u>>; Moss,

Benjamin M. (CIV)

<Benjamin.M.Moss2@usdoj.gov>;

Santora, Victoria M (CIV)

<Victoria.M.Santora@usdoj.gov>;

Stone, Paul (CIV)

<Paul.F.Stone@usdoj.gov>;

Strokus, Jessica D. (CIV)

<Jessica.D.Strokus@usdoj.gov>;

Yen, Shawna (USAMA)

<<u>Shawna.Yen@usdoj.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: AAUP v. Rubio -Various Outstanding Items

Apologies -- I neglected to attach the signed stipulation re government statements to my last message. It's attached here.

Lindsay

From: Murphy, Lindsay M. (CIV) **Sent:** Tuesday, June 10, 2025 12:10

PM

To: Noam Biale

<<u>NBiale@shertremonte.com</u>>;

Alexandra Conlon

<<u>Aconlon@shertremonte.com</u>>

Cc: Alexander A Abdo

<alex.abdo@knightcolumbia.org>;

Courtney Gans

<<u>CGans@shertremonte.com</u>>;

jameel.jaffer

<iameel.iaffer@knightcolumbia.org>;

Michael Tremonte

<<u>MTremonte@shertremonte.com</u>>;

Ramya Krishnan

<ramva.krishnan@knightcolumbia.org>;

scott.wilkens

<scott.wilkens@knightcolumbia.org>;

Busen, Jesse (CIV)

<<u>Jesse.Busen@usdoj.gov</u>>; Hennes,

Stefanie (CIV)

<<u>Stefanie.Hennes@usdoi.gov</u>>;

Kanellis, William G. (CIV)

< <u>William.G.Kanellis@usdoi.gov</u>>;

Kanter, Ethan (CIV)

< <u>Ethan.Kanter@usdoj.gov</u>>; Moss,

Benjamin M. (CIV)

<Benjamin.M.Moss2@usdoj.gov>;

Santora, Victoria M (CIV)

<<u>Victoria.M.Santora@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Stone, Paul (CIV)

<<u>Paul.F.Stone@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Strokus, Jessica D. (CIV)

<Jessica.D.Strokus@usdoi.gov>;

Yen, Shawna (USAMA)

<<u>Shawna.Yen@usdoi.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: AAUP v. Rubio -Various Outstanding Items

Hi Noam

Please see our answers in green to your requests below. If you'd like to meet and confer about Defendants' discovery requests today, we can be available between 12:30pm and 2pm or between 4 and 5pm.

Thanks, Lindsay

From: Noam Biale

<<u>NBiale@shertremonte.com</u>>

Sent: Monday, June 9, 2025 9:26

PM

To: Murphy, Lindsay M. (CIV)

<<u>Lindsay.M.Murphy@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Alexandra Conlon

<Aconlon@shertremonte.com>

Cc: Alexander A Abdo

<alex.abdo@knightcolumbia.org>;

Courtney Gans

<<u>CGans@shertremonte.com</u>>;

jameel.jaffer

<jameel.jaffer@knightcolumbia.org>;

Michael Tremonte

<<u>MTremonte@shertremonte.com</u>>;

Ramya Krishnan

<ramva.krishnan@knightcolumbia.org>;

scott.wilkens

<scott.wilkens@knightcolumbia.org>;

Busen, Jesse (CIV)

<<u>Jesse.Busen@usdoj.gov</u>>; Hennes,

Stefanie (CIV)

<<u>Stefanie.Hennes@usdoi.gov</u>>;

Kanellis, William G. (CIV)

<<u>William.G.Kanellis@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Kanter, Ethan (CIV)

< <u>Ethan.Kanter@usdoi.gov</u>>; Moss,

Benjamin M. (CIV)

<Benjamin.M.Moss2@usdoj.gov>;

Santora, Victoria M (CIV)

< <u>Victoria.M.Santora@usdoj.gov</u>>;

Stone, Paul (CIV)

<<u>Paul.F.Stone@usdoi.gov</u>>;

Strokus, Jessica D. (CIV)

<Jessica.D.Strokus@usdoi.gov>;

Yen, Shawna (USAMA)

<<u>Shawna.Yen@usdoj.gov</u>>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] AAUP v. Rubio

- Various Outstanding Items

Dear Lindsay:

In no particular order, here are responses and outstanding requests on various pending issues:

> 1. Pre-trial deadlines - the local rules require us to meet and confer on a joint pretrial memorandum 14 days before the pretrial conference (which would be 6/12) and file the memorandum no later than 7 days before the conference (which would make it due on 6/19). We think it makes sense to have the meet and confer on schedule but are anticipating that we will want to ask jointly for more time to file the memorandum, since it includes things like witness and exhibit lists that we are unlikely to have in final form by the 19th. Let us know if that works for you. Sure. Let's meet on 6/12 to discuss. Would

2pm work for you?

1. Protective Order - we attach the latest version of the protective order. We propose to drop our request for paragraph 10 in exchange for you accepting our addition to paragraph 3. We can then highlight paragraph 7 - the record-keeping provision as the only point of disagreement for the Court to resolve. If that is satisfactory, please let us know and we will file this indicating that most of it is on consent and moving on the record-keeping provision. Please see our comments in the attached. We are at an impasse on paragraph 7; Plaintiffs' edits to paragraph 3 render the provision too broad for Defendants to be able to agree; also, in re-reviewing the draft, Defendants cannot agree to paragraph 6(h) as written- to the extent that any filings containing PII are submitted in camera, Defendants do not agree to waive privilege in order for non-parties to the litigation to review their PII. Filing the documents containing PII under seal or in camera should sufficiently safeguard that information. Defendants

Page 17 of 22

have already indicated that it is the government's practice to redact PII from filings where necessary and would be willing to consult with Plaintiffs' counsel on appropriate PII redactions for any public filings.

- 1. Stipulation re Statement of Government Officials - we have accepted your proposed changes and are attaching a signed version. Please send it back to us with your signature. Signed version attached. Note that the statement numbers jump from 21 to 23 (22 is skipped). We're happy to sign again if you wanted to correct the numbering.
- 1. Clawback agreement we are awaiting your responses to our proposed edits. Attached with one edit to paragraph 5 for Plaintiffs' review.
- 1. Administrative Record and Plaintiffs' outstanding discovery requests – two items:
 - 1. On May 29, we requested that you send us the attachments referenced in the administrative record and the two

specific documents
we asked for
concerning Ms.
Ozturk. Please
provide these
immediately or
explain why you are
declining to do so.
Defendants have
reviewed these
documents and
determined that they
are subject to law
enforcement
privilege and
deliberative process

- enforcement
 privilege and
 deliberative process
 privilege; we will be
 submitting them in
 camera and ex parte
 for the court's review
 only.
- 2. You also told us that you would get us responses and objections to our RFPs and Interrogatories by the end of last week or beginning of this week. When will you provide those? Defendants are working on finalizing these as quickly as we can; we intend to provide our responses/objections by Wednesday.
- Defendants' discovery requests – we would like to schedule a meet and confer to discuss your

discovery requests. Please let us know when you have time in the next couple of days for this. We can meet today between 12:30 and 2pm or between 4pm and 5pm. Let us know if you're free during either of those time blocks.

- 1. Depositions of Defendants' witnesses four items:
 - 1. Alex Conlon from my firm will take the depositions. Her PHV application is still in process. Please let us know if you consent to her taking the depositions. No objection from Defendants.
 - 2. When is the next earliest date Watson is available to be deposed? It is not reasonable to push the ruling from the Court back and then not be at all flexible on the deposition dates. Mr. Watson has arranged his schedule to be available for a deposition tomorrow, at Plaintiffs's request, as has our trial team. If you don't plan to depose him

Page 20 of 22

tomorrow, we'll consider Plaintiffs to have waived that opportunity.

- 3. Unless you have a ruling granting your motion for a protective order by Wednesday AM, you should provide documents and responses to interrogatories concerning both deponents. At a minimum, you must provide all documents related to them or for which they are custodians that you do not contend are covered by the deliberative process privilege. We're working as quickly as we can to get these finalized and over to you before tomorrow's depositions.
- 4. Please also provide us with the names, titles, and roles of other relevant officials, as we have repeatedly requested, so we may notice their depositions. We're working as quicky as we can to identify the relevant officials.

1. Depositions of Plaintiffs' witnesses - We will provide the names of the witnesses we currently plan to call (we are still finalizing our list) immediately upon entry of the protective order and pending a ruling on our non-retaliation order and then will work with you to schedule their depositions. Plaintiffs know who their witnesses are, so there should not be an issue with providing that information. At the very least, Plaintiffs should immediately provide us with the cities where your witnesses will be next week so that we can arrange for travel and court reporters.

Regards, Noam

<image005.jpg>

Noam Biale

Sher Tremonte LLP

90 Broad Street, 23rd Floor | New York, NY 10004

tel: 212.300.2445 | fax: 212.202.4156

nbiale@shertremonte.com www.shertremonte.com

<image006.jpg>

<image007.jpg>

<image008.jpg>

<image001.jpg>

<image002.jpg>

<image003.jpg>

<image004.jpg>

<image005.jpg>

<image006.jpg>

<image007.jpg>

<image008.jpg>

<lmage.jpeg>